

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,607	10/07/2005	Michael Sturzl	0147-0265PUS1	3489	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 07/14/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747		DANG, IAN D			
FALLS CHUF	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

T	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/537,607	STURZL ET AL.		
Ī	Examiner	Art Unit		
١	IAN DANG	1647		

	IAN DANG	1647						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendors for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date wave been filled is he date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of the properties of	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\bigcirc\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-12.14 and 17-24.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).								
13. Other:								
/Manjunath N. Rao, /								

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1647

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

If the amendments to claims 1, 3-4, 7-10 and 24, filed on 5-28-08 are entered, it would overcome the rejections of claims 1-25 under 35 USC 112, First paragraph (written description and enablement). In addition, the amendment also overcomes the rejection of claims 1-25 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

However, the same instant amendments made to claims 1, 3-4, 7-10, and 24 if entered raises new issues under 35 USC 102(b). Claims 1, 3-12, 15, 17-24 will be anticipated by the the reference by Guenzi et al. (2003, cited in the IDS mailed 06/03/205). The reference by Guenzi et al. (2001) was the basis for the rejection made under 35 USC 102(b) at pages 13-14 in the Office action mailed 03/26/2007 which will have to be reinstated.

In addition, the new admendments made to claims 1, 3-4, 7-10, and 24 also raises new issues under 35 USC 103(a). Claim 14 will have to rejected under 55 USC 103(a) as being obtwois over Sturzl et al. (US Patent 6,894,157) were 60 Guenzi et al. (201) as applied to claims 1, 3-12, 15, 17-24. The reference by Sturzl et al. (US patent 6,894,157) was the basis for the rejection made under 35 USC 103(a) at pages 15-16 of the Office action mailed 03/26/2007.

Therefore, the amendment filed on 5-28-08 has not, been entered.